#### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RISK ASSESSMENT FISH CONSUMPTION RATES AND OTHER EXPOSURE CONSIDERATIONS

MTCA Science Advisory Board

December 14, 2007



## Background

- Site eligible for NPL listing
- Deferral of listing
- MTCA cleanup process



### **Deferral Agreement**

- Defers the decision to list the Site on the NPL while the State oversees cleanup under MTCA.
- Signed by EPA, Ecology, and the LEKT.
- Ensures cleanup will be "CERCLA-protective" and all actions will meet or exceed CERCLA requirements.
- Identifies roles and responsibilities.



## **Deferral Agreement**

The Tribe has interests in protecting its treaty fisheries, its cultural resources, and the health of its members from releases originating at the Site and in providing recommendations, consistent with State and EPA procedures, concerning risk assessment procedures that take into account the Tribe's dependence on affected fisheries and exposure scenarios for tribal receptors.



#### **Risk Assessment Procedures**

- ❖ MTCA
- \* EPA RAGS
- Local tribal fish consumption surveys
- Tribal input and interviews
- EPA Region 10 framework



## **EPA Region 10 Framework**

"Framework for Selecting and Using Tribal Fish and Shellfish Consumption Rates for Risk-Based Decision Making at CERCLA and RCRA Cleanup Sites in Puget Sound and the Strait of Georgia"



## **Application of EPA Framework**

- CERCLA / RCRA cleanup sites
- Puget Sound / Strait of Georgia
- Regional tribal consumption surveys
  - Tulalip Tribes
  - Suquamish Tribe
- Potential risks from site-related releases



## **Key Considerations**

- Hierarchy of preferred data sources
- Current conditions as predictors of future conditions
- Use of substitute fish and shellfish sources ("resource switching")
- Use of anadromous fish data
- Use of child-specific consumption rates
- Other exposure considerations



#### Framework Flow Chart

- Step 1: Select basis for tribal fish and shellfish consumption rate.
- Step 2: Select fish and shellfish consumption rate.



## Framework Flow Chart - Step 1

<u>Box PS-1</u>: Are Puget Sound / Georgia Strait fish and shellfish consumption studies relevant to the Tribe?

- No tribal-specific study
- Culturally similar
- Geographically similar

If Yes, Go to Box PS-2.



#### Framework Flow Chart - Step 1

<u>Box PS-2:</u> Does the site or its environs have (existing or potential) high quality physical habitat to support substantial shellfish harvest in the absence of contamination?

- Existing habitat
- Tribal input

If Yes, the Suquamish Tribe data may be used as the basis for the consumption rate.



## Framework Flow Chart - Step 2

<u>Box PS-9</u>: Select the fish and shellfish consumption rates corresponding to the information source selected in Step 1.

Salmon

Pelagic Fish

Bottom Fish

Shellfish

Total Ingestion Rate

183.5 grams/day

56.0 grams/day

29.1 grams/day

498.4 grams/day

766.8 grams/day



RIDOLFI Inc.

## Framework Flow Chart - Step 2

**Box PS-10**. Select a mix of categories or species of fish and shellfish to reasonably represent consumption patterns, while holding constant the total consumption rate.

Salmon 24%

Pelagic Fish 7%

Bottom Fish 4%

Shellfish
65%



### Framework Flow Chart - Step 2

Box PS-11. Salmon.

A decision must be made to include or exclude risks associated with consumption of salmon on a site-specific and contaminant-specific basis.



## Framework Flow Chart - Step 2

<u>Box PS-12</u>. Additional contaminant and aquatic life issues.

This step may be used in consultation with a fish biologist or other expert to determine whether it is appropriate to reduce estimates of site-related risks due to consumption of fish.



## **Recommendations**

- Use EPA Region 10 Framework approach
- Use Suguamish consumption data
- Exclude risks from salmon
- Use diet fraction of 100 percent
- Use lifetime exposure duration
- Use adult body weight from Suquamish



# Comparison of Exposure Factors

Exposure Factor	MTCA Default (Equation 730-2)	LEKT Recommended
FCR	54 grams/day	583 grams/day
FDF	50%	100% (% from PS)
ED	30 years	70 years (lifetime)
EF	350 days/year	365 days/year
ABW	70 kg	79 kg
AT	75 years	70 years (lifetime)



RIDOLFI Inc.

## **Uncertainties**

- Suquamish data
- Salmon exclusion
- Percent from Site
- Exposure duration / frequency



## **Implications**

- Combining recommended exposure assumptions, Tribal exposure would be more than 60 times higher than assumed by the MTCA default values.
- Consequently, a cleanup based on MTCA default values would exposure Tribal fish consumers to 60 times more risk than acceptable under MTCA.



# **Extent of Effluent Toxicity**





